

Comments Form

**Waste and Minerals Core Strategy  
Preferred Strategy, October 2009**

We are inviting your input to the development of new planning policies for the future management of waste and production of minerals within East Sussex and Brighton & Hove.

We are gathering as much evidence as we can to support the planning policies that will form the final Core Strategy Document. We are seeking your views on the preferred approaches and whether there is other evidence we should consider.

The Councils are seeking your views on whether we are taking forward the most appropriate options for dealing with the issues we face with managing waste and producing minerals to 2026. If you think approaches set out in the Preferred Strategy could be improved please tell us why.

Please refer to the Preferred Strategy before completing this form.

It is not necessary to answer all of the questions.

If you have any queries, our contact details are on the final page.

Please note that all responses may later be available for public inspection.

**Respondent details**

Name  Agent (if applicable)

Organisation (if appropriate)

Address:  Address:

Postcode:  Postcode:

Email:  Email:

Telephone:  Telephone:

## Comments

### Q1 Section 2 - Context

Please add any comments you have on the Context here

### Q2 Section 3 - Characteristics of East Sussex and Brighton and Hove

Please add any comments you have on the Spatial Characteristics here

### Q3 Section 4 - Spatial Vision

Please add any comments you have on the Vision here

### Q4 Section 5 - Spatial Objectives

Do you agree that the revised Spatial Objectives are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Time Bound)?

## The Preferred Policy Approach

We have asked specific questions where we are particularly interested in your views on a topic but you can comment on anything you feel is relevant to the policies or the overall strategy.

### Q5 - POLICY CS1a - Waste Minimisation

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Will this policy help individuals and businesses in reducing waste?

### Q6 - POLICY CS1b - Minimising waste during construction and demolition

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Do you think there are other approaches this policy should encourage to minimise Construction & Demolition Waste?

### Q7 - POLICY CS2 - The need for additional waste recovery and land disposal capacity

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is taking forward the medium growth option appropriate?

Is the strategy right to plan for waste growth rates which are below those set out in the South East Plan?

**Q8 - POLICY CS3 - Meeting the need for new waste management capacity in line with the waste hierarchy**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the targets set at the right levels?

**Q9 - POLICY CS4 - Distribution and scale of strategic waste recovery facilities**

The 'Areas of Search' are shown on Plan 3

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Do you have suggestions for any different areas of search?

**Q10 - POLICY - CS5a Sites for built facilities for recycling and recovery of MSW, C&I and temporary C&D facilities**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the proposed criteria to decide where built waste facilities should be located appropriate?

**Q11 - POLICY CS5b Sites for open air composting and for permanent open air C&D recycling**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the proposed criteria to decide where open air facilities for composting, and construction and demolition waste recycling should be located appropriate?

**Q12 - POLICY CS5c - Design and operation of waste facilities to mitigate the greenhouse gas impacts of new waste development facilities**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the proposed requirements to mitigate the greenhouse gas impacts of new waste development reasonable?

**Q13 - POLICY CS6 - The need for appropriate distribution of land disposal facilities for residual waste in suitable locations**

The 'Areas of Search' are shown on Plan 4

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the primary areas of search /strategic locations for land disposal appropriate?

Are the proposed criteria for suitable locations for land disposal appropriate?

**Q14 - POLICY CS7 - Wastewater treatment works capacity and sewage sludge treatment capacity**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are the proposed options for new wastewater treatment capacity appropriate?

Are the areas of search for a wastewater treatment facility appropriate?

**Q15 - POLICY CS8 - Managing hazardous wastes**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Do you support the principle of net self-sufficiency for managing hazardous wastes?

**Q16 - POLICY CS9a Sustainable, efficient and hierarchical management and use of minerals in East Sussex and Brighton & Hove**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is there evidence that this policy will not deliver enough mineral resource for the plan period?

**Q17 - POLICY CS10a Safeguarding of minerals resources**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is there evidence that this policy will not protect or provide sufficient viable land-won mineral resources?

**Q18 - POLICY CS10b- Safeguarding of wharf and rail facilities**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is there evidence that this policy will not provide adequate protection for facilities to land marine aggregates?

**Q19 - POLICY CS11a - Contributing to local, regional and national aggregates provision**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is there evidence that this policy will not protect or provide sufficient viable land-won aggregate resources?

**Q20 - POLICY CS11b - Meeting national requirements and regional development needs for clay**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Will this policy provide sufficient clay resources for the plan area and for existing brick-making facilities?

Are there other uses of clay that this policy should account for?

**Q21 - POLICY CS12 - Gypsum**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Is this option flexible enough to meet changes in products and markets?

Does the policy provide enough gypsum resource for the plan period in current and future economic circumstances?

**Q22 - POLICY CS13 - On-shore oil and gas exploration, extraction and development**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

**Q23 - Chalk**

Is this an appropriate approach for chalk?

**Q24 - POLICY CS14 - Protection of designated areas and reducing the environmental impact of minerals development**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Does the policy provide sufficient protection to the environment with regard to minerals development?

Does the policy allow for development of sufficient necessary minerals sites?

Is there evidence to suggest that this policy would not achieve effective restoration?

**Q25 - POLICY CS15 - Support sustainable means of transporting minerals within and in and out of the plan area**

Is this the right approach?

Would this policy be effective and deliverable?

Are there issues and other opportunities for the sustainable transportation of minerals within the Plan area that the strategy does not take account of?

**Q26 - Implementation and Monitoring**

Is it clear how key policy objectives and targets will be achieved?

Is it clear who is intended to implement each policy?

Is it clear how the key policy objectives and targets are to be measured and linked to the production of the Annual Monitoring Report?

**Q27 - Plans**

There are several plans in this Strategy: one for Minerals and three for Waste. The diagrams should accurately map constraints in the area- for example the intended South Downs National park boundary. Sites for a specific purpose (new waste facilities, potential land raising sites, wharf, rail and minerals sites) have not been identified in detail and you may have comments to make on where the boundaries should be, as well as what you think about the areas of search.

Are the constraints mapped accurately?

Are there other constraints/opportunities that should be shown?

Are the boundaries for the areas of search appropriate?

**Q28 - Is the strategy as a whole the right approach?**

Is the strategy as a whole the right solution?

**Q29 - Further Comments**

Please add any further comments you have below:

**Further Comments cont/d ...**

**Please return the form to us by 5.00pm on 15 January 2010 at the address below:**

Waste and Minerals Planning Policy Team  
Transport & Environment  
East Sussex County Council  
C4 Waste and Minerals Policy (AP)  
FREEPOST (LW43)  
Lewes BN7 1BR

Fax 01273 479 040

Tel: 01273 481846 (ESCC) - 01273 292505 (BHCC)

Email: [wasteandmineralsdf@eastsussex.gov.uk](mailto:wasteandmineralsdf@eastsussex.gov.uk)

Or see our consultation portal: <http://consult.eastsussex.gov.uk> to complete this form on-line.

Data protection: personal information given on this form will be used by East Sussex County Council and Brighton & Hove City Council (and its agents) in connection with its statutory functions. It will not be used for any other purpose.

**Thank you for completing this questionnaire.**